



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of Allowed: May 4, 2006
OKADA et al. Atty. Ref.: 723-1221; Confirmation No. 7266
Appl. No. 09/994,943 Group: 2628
Filed: November 28, 2001 Examiner: HARRISON, Chante E.
For: IMAGE PROCESSING APPARATUS AND DISPLAY CONTROL METHOD

* * * * *

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

June 22, 2006

Sir:

**COMMENTS REGARDING STATEMENT OF
REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**


Applicants traverse the reasons for allowance to the extent these reasons are construed to suggest that the claim limitations emphasized therein are the only claim limitations missing from the prior art of record. In addition, to the extent the Examiner's reasons for allowance are (or are construed to be) inconsistent with, add or omit claim limitations, Applicants state that it is the claims that define the invention. In particular, the reasons for allowance are not commensurate with the scope of the claims. By way of example without limitation, the comments incorrectly suggest that all of the independent claims 1, 9, 10, 11, 14, 26 and 30 relate to communication and game related data. However, this is not the case because at least claims 1, 9, 10 and 11 are not limited in this regard.

OKADA et al.
Serial No. 09/994,943

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By:



Michael J. Shea
Reg. No. 34,725

MJS:mjs
901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor
Arlington, VA 22203-1808
Telephone: (703) 816-4000
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100